UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 04-6324**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

CHESTER FLETCHER WALLACE,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Durham. W. Earl Britt, Senior District Judge. (CR-99-187; CA-03-290)

---

Submitted: July 19, 2004          Decided: August 9, 2004

---

Before LUTTIG, WILLIAMS, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Chester Fletcher Wallace, Appellant Pro Se. Lisa Blue Boggs, Assistant United States Attorney, Greensboro, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

PER CURIAM:

Chester Fletcher Wallace seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000).  An appeal may not be taken from the final order in a § 2255 proceeding unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability.  28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000).  A certificate of appealability will not issue for claims addressed by a district court absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right."  28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000).  A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that his constitutional claims are debatable and that any dispositive procedural rulings by the district court are also debatable or wrong.  See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir. 2001).  We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Wallace has not made the requisite showing.  Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal.  We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED